

## Section 2: Roles and Responsibilities

# Chapter 9: Planning and Zoning

### A Balancing of Interests

Perhaps one of the most difficult aspects of planning and zoning is the need to balance the various, often competing, interests of property owners and residents. These competing interests are represented through the concept of property rights. Local decision makers are required to balance the interests of private property rights against the need to protect the public interest. In other words, how much regulation is enough to protect the public and at what point does that regulation begin to infringe on property rights?

In the midst of these sometimes competing interests and views are the local authorities for zoning; the zoning administrator, the planning commission, the zoning board of appeals, and the city or village council. Dealing with each of these conflicting perspectives is simply not possible, and the intent of zoning is to avoid conflicts that arise. Instead, zoning follows some basic principles and procedures designed to treat each person, property, and point of view fairly and consistently.

### Legal Framework

Local planning and zoning authority is based in two statutes, the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act (MZEA) (PA 110 of 2006) and the Michigan Planning Enabling Act (MPEA) (PA 33 of 2008). These laws consolidated and updated various older enabling statutes, and should be referenced when adopting or updating local planning and zoning documents, as they address topics like:

- The creation and membership of the planning commission and zoning board of appeals (ZBA);
- The division of responsibilities between these appointed bodies and the local legislative body;
- Requirements for adopting a master plan and zoning ordinance; and

- Minimum standards for public notices and processes around planning and zoning decisions

If your community has not yet reviewed its ordinances against these new statutes, it is critical to undertake that review, to ensure that you are on solid legal footing.

### The Planning Team

The laws that originally set up the land use planning and zoning system for Michigan anticipated the need for the three bodies most involved to work closely together to coordinate their efforts.

**The planning commission**, an appointed body, was originally given the responsibility of writing and adopting the master plan. This was done to ensure some degree of independence from the political arena, which had plagued the planning process in earlier years. In 2002, this requirement was changed to include more involvement by the legislative body in the planning and adoption process. The planning commission was also given the duty of writing the first draft of the zoning ordinance. This was done to ensure a direct connection between the master plan and zoning ordinance.

**The village council** may choose to be the adopting authority of the master plan, but is required to adopt the zoning ordinance because it is the law.

**The zoning board of appeals** was granted the authority to waive certain zoning ordinance requirements where conditions of the ordinance deprived property owners of the right to develop their property.

There are, however, situations where this delicate balance fails. For example:

- The planning commission adopts a master plan with which the legislative body has fundamental differences. The legislative body may refuse to allow the plan to be adopted by the planning commission, or the legislative body may itself refuse to adopt

the plan. Accordingly, any attempt to implement the plan through the zoning ordinance may then fail when the legislative body refused to adopt either the plan or the ordinance. To reduce the chance of conflict, the legislative body and planning commission should work together on strategic goal setting early in a master planning process; and

- The ZBA grants variances without sufficient justification, which detracts from the ordinance's effectiveness. In extreme cases, such actions might allow the ZBA to, in effect, take over the zoning policy-making function that is normally reserved for the planning commission and legislative body. If the ZBA believes the zoning ordinance is generally flawed, rather than a unique situation with a particular property, it should communicate with the planning commission to address the issue.

The legislative body, planning commission, and ZBA may find periodic joint meetings or other formal communications helpful: all have an interest in keeping the master plan, as a policy document, the zoning ordinance, as a law, and the administrative and quasi-judicial decisions made on individual applications in alignment.

### **The Master Plan**

Policies regarding land use are expressed through the master plan. A master plan will include a description of the community, outline goals and objectives and map areas of different land uses, ranging from residential to industrial. The master plan must constantly be reviewed to make sure that the new growth conforms to what was planned. But as events unfold, these plans may change to take unanticipated events into account. While a master plan typically considers a timeframe of decades, the MPEA requires a community to formally review its plan at least every five years.

While the planning commission is responsible for drafting the master plan, the legislative body must "approve the plan for distribution," and may elect to become the adopting authority for the plan. After preparing a

proposed plan, the planning commission must submit the proposed plan to the legislative body for review and comment. Before the adoption process can proceed, the legislative body must approve the distribution of the proposed plan. If it does not, it must return the plan to the commission with its objections. The commission must then revise the plan until it is accepted by the legislative body.

The long-term effect of this change to the adoption process will have to be determined. But even if the planning commission is delegated the responsibility of completing and adopting the master plan, the legislative body should be involved in all of the critical steps of the process in order for the plan to be effectively implemented.

Developing a master plan is a reasonably logical process. It consists of:

- identifying community issues;
- collecting information regarding those issues;
- determining the direction in which the community wants to develop;
- deciding how to proceed in that direction;
- adopting the plan;
- fashioning a method of implementation; and
- reviewing the plan periodically.

Of these, perhaps the most important is determining the direction of the community through the development of a community vision and setting goals that will achieve that vision. To begin this process, the planning commission and legislative body should discuss philosophical, broad-ranging questions related to growth and community character. These might include such questions as, "Do we want to grow?" or "What does 'small town character' mean to us?"

Once the master plan is in place the normal reaction is a let-down; the planning commission's hard work has paid off and the plan is completed and ready to be filed. But, in reality, the work has just begun. All too often, the plan sits on a shelf and collects dust.

A plan which is not actively followed and implemented may lead to problems for the community in the future. Failure to follow the plan may discredit any attempt to use the plan as a defense for actions which may be challenged by property owners or developers.

## The Zoning Ordinance

Local control of the use of land (with some exceptions, such as state and federal land uses) is an accepted legal principle. Land use is traditionally controlled through the separation of land into various use areas, called zoning districts. The rules governing these districts are contained in a zoning ordinance which includes provisions controlling the type and intensity of development allowed.

Communities often have to wrestle with complex zoning and growth policy issues brought on by new development. The need to provide flexibility, coupled with the desire to maintain some degree of control, has created the need to find innovative zoning and land use policy solutions. Some of these include:

- A planned unit development (PUD) process offers the opportunity to review large or complex developments for their ability to better meet the intent of the zoning ordinance than the strict application of the ordinance provisions. The MZEA introduced the ability for a PUD to take place on noncontiguous properties. In all cases, the zoning ordinance must enable and define the process for a PUD before one may be considered;
- A form-based code (FBC) places focus on the shape, size, and arrangement of buildings or other improvements on a property, with the activity happening on the property a secondary consideration—some communities find this approach is better able to manage community character and impact of development than a focus on traditional divisions of residential, commercial, etc., activity. Note that the MZEA does not explicitly discuss or authorize FBCs separately from more traditional zoning;
- Two or more communities may form a joint planning commission, to facilitate coordination of development across jurisdictions; and
- The MZEA introduced the option for an applicant to offer conditions to a rezoning request, such as limiting

permitted uses under the rezoning to only some of the uses permitted in the target district. Note that municipalities are clearly forbidden from requesting conditions or amending the conditions proposed—this option should be used carefully, if at all.

## The Zoning Board of Appeals

A community that has established a zoning ordinance must have a zoning board of appeals (ZBA). A city council may act as the ZBA, or a separate board of not less than five members may be appointed. The Zoning Board of Appeals has a quasi-judicial function and must act objectively when evaluating an appeal. If the elected body also serves as the ZBA, it may become difficult to remain an objective evaluator when an individual is also an elected official.

The number of members is based on population; less than 5,000 must have at least three members. More than 5,000 must have at least five members. The only appointment guidelines are residency, population distribution, and representation for the various interests in the community (residential, commercial, industrial, education, etc.). All members serve three-year terms. Two alternate members may be appointed and serve in the case of an absence or in the case of a conflict of interest with one of the regular members. The alternate, if called, serves on a case until a decision is reached, even if called on the basis of an absence of the regular member, and even if that member returns.

The board has the responsibility for ensuring that the zoning ordinance is properly and fairly applied. The need for the ZBA is based on the realization that a single set of regulations cannot anticipate every potential condition related to individual properties and uses. The most common action by the board is the consideration of variances.

A **variance** is permission to waive or alter a requirement or limitation of the zoning ordinance. There are two types of variances.

A **use variance** permits a use of land that is otherwise not allowed in that district. A use variance is a modification of the literal provisions of the zoning ordinance that may be

authorized by the board when strict enforcement of the ordinance would cause *unnecessary hardship* for the property owner due to circumstances unique to the property. To obtain a use variance, the applicant must demonstrate through review standards that the unnecessary hardship related to the use of the property exists. The community may choose to exclude the consideration of use variance in its zoning ordinance.

A **nonuse variance**, also known as a dimensional variance, is a modification of the literal provisions of the zoning ordinance that may be authorized by the board when strict enforcement of the ordinance would cause *practical difficulties* for the property owner due to circumstances unique to the property. Nonuse variance requests are typically associated with modifications of required yard setbacks, building heights, parking requirements, landscaping or buffering restrictions, and related building or facility placement matters and sizes.

Every person has the right to seek relief from a zoning ordinance requirement. If the standards used by the board are carefully considered and followed, the integrity of the ordinance will be maintained. But too often variances are granted because no one sees any harm in doing so, rather than carefully considering the ordinance standards. The board soon gains a reputation for not following its ordinance; one merely has to go to the ZBA to obtain relief from the ordinance.

Eventually, the offhand granting of variances harms the community's ability to enforce the ordinance. Moreover, poorly supported decisions can, over time, have the effect of destroying the credibility of the zoning ordinance as well as the ZBA. It is up to the members to prevent this by strictly applying the review standards of the ordinance necessary to obtain a variance: variances create an exception from the ordinance, and should be exceptional, rather than routine.

### Procedures and Processes

Foremost among today's planning and zoning issues is the need to have specific, written procedures for handling planning and zoning matters. The entire zoning process, from the time that a person first approaches the

municipality to the issuance of the occupancy permit or possible sanction of violations, should be clearly understood by all parties involved. Some basic rules:

- Proper forms should be in place to document applications and permits;
- Meetings should be governed by consistent rules;
- All actions should be clearly and thoroughly documented;
- Applications should not be accepted if incomplete (inadequate site plan, fee unpaid, etc.);
- If required public notices were not sent or were published improperly, stop the process and start over; and
- Action on any application should be delayed until the applicant or a representative is present (unless legal time limits dictate otherwise).

Another important aspect is keeping good records. One test of record-keeping is the ability to pick any application that has been approved and constructed and be able to follow each step, from the first contact of the application to the last permit, by the records kept for that application. Project files should include, at a minimum:

- relevant pages of minutes at which the proposal was discussed,
- staff notes, meeting notes, correspondence, telephone conversation notes, etc.,
- copy of the application and supporting material, and
- approved/signed copy of the site plan.

### Making Effective Decisions

Following an effective decision-making process is one of the most important methods of avoiding, or at least surviving, challenges to decisions. Careful consideration and support of decisions through the use of the standards of the zoning ordinance is important. These standards must be written into the ordinance and if all standards are met, the application must be approved.

If the decision is challenged, the importance of using the ordinance's standards becomes self-evident. A well-supported decision provides the background needed to build a solid legal

foundation for the decision. The use of standards will help avoid the “arbitrary and capricious” label often given to zoning decisions that are not well supported.

The record must show sufficient facts to back up the findings made according to the ordinance standards. Some simple considerations:

- It is not enough to deny an application because of a vague notion that the use is not a “good idea,” or that it will “harm the neighborhood;”
- The presence of a roomful of people opposing the project is not sufficient reason to deny an application;
- The past performance of the applicant should not be used as a basis for a denial. If there are doubts about performance, make proper use of conditional approvals, performance bonds, and proper documentation;
- Approvals and denials should each be thoroughly documented on the record, clearly stating how the ordinance standards were, or were not met; and
- Questions of doubt should be resolved before taking action; do not act hastily. Zoning decisions are permanent; take care that the decision is the best that can be made given the information available.

### **The Role of the Public**

Having noted the need for objectivity, the question arises as to what role the public should play. Various zoning approvals require participation by the public in the decision-making process, usually in the form of public hearings. The dilemma in which most decision makers find themselves is trying to determine what weight to give the comments (and complaints) of the public.

People do not generally come to a meeting in support of a particular project; most have concerns that they wish addressed, many are simply opposed to what is proposed. The foremost concern that any decision maker should have is to ensure fairness for all concerned; the applicant as well as the public.

To ensure fairness, keep some simple things in mind.

- Everyone must have the opportunity to speak and present evidence at public hearings. While some limitations may be placed on this right, no action should be taken that would deprive a person of their right to be heard.
- Most people are uncomfortable speaking in public. While the chair cannot make everyone effective speakers, he/she can make sure that meeting rules are followed and order maintained. Keeping a subtle balance between the degree of formality required, and the degree of informality that is sometimes needed is a learned art.
- Recognize emotional responses and treat them with concern and understanding. Land use issues can elicit strong emotions. Strong responses, within limits, should be expected and understood. Decision makers must learn to control their emotions, even when the comments get personal.
- The chair can help maintain order by following meeting rules and requiring that comments are made only on the subject at hand. It is often helpful to point out what request is being made and to ensure that the public understands the limitations of the board or commission.

### **Enforcement**

No matter how well written the zoning ordinance may be, it is essentially made meaningless unless the community has an effective enforcement process. Creating and maintaining an effective enforcement program requires a good COP (Commitment, Ordinances, Process):

**Commitment:** The community, including its enforcement officials, administration and legislative body, needs to have a firm commitment to the enforcement of its ordinances. This means providing the necessary resources to monitor and penalize. It also means ensuring that enforcement officials are not subject to interference from the administration and legislative body members.

**Ordinances:** Ordinances must be clearly written and be able to be reasonably monitored and enforced. Each time a new regulation is drafted, it would be useful to ask the enforcement officials how they may go about monitoring and implementing the various ordinance provisions. Ordinances that require unreasonable actions on the part of enforcement officers are less likely to be properly administered.

**Process:** Finally, it is important that there be a consistent, well documented enforcement process. For example, a follow up to a violation might be similar to this:

1. Verbal notification is sent to the property owner, followed up by a written notice.
2. If not corrected, a second notice (usually worded somewhat more forcefully) may be sent.
3. If not corrected after the second notice, a citation is issued.

(Note: The procedures for each community will be different, and may depend on whether the ordinance violation requires a civil or criminal action.)

### How to Avoid Litigation

The short answer to avoiding litigation is simple. You can't! Governments are always open to lawsuits, regardless of the methods used to reach a decision. Disappointed applicants and neighbors far too often look to the courts to make a decision favorable to their position. However, there are some actions that you may take to strengthen your legal position.

The first way to avoid a legal challenge to your decisions is to follow the procedures and principles outlined in this chapter. As many members have already experienced, the zoning process involves a wide variety of technical, administrative, and discretionary factors. The technical factors may include compliance with the specific requirements of the zoning ordinance, such as setbacks, height, parking, etc. The administrative requirements may include ensuring that notices are mailed and published,

meeting procedures followed, and other similar actions.

Finally, and probably most important, are the judgmental factors that are required in making effective zoning decisions. The standards provided in the zoning ordinance for various types of decisions are the clearest guide given to decision makers. All decisions should be based on these standards and the facts that are used to apply them.

Other factors that should be remembered:

- Keep the master plan and zoning ordinance up-to-date. A current plan and ordinance can bolster an effective defense. An outdated plan or ordinance is subject to attack as not relevant to today's conditions.
- Recognize the landowner's right to a reasonable rate of return, although that may not be the use that provides the highest profit or "highest and best use," not a term applicable to zoning.
- Do not exclude lawful land uses if there is a demand and an appropriate location in the community.
- Base decisions on the ordinances and facts rather than emotions or opinions of the applicant.
- Make decisions using the written standards of the zoning ordinance.
- Know the rules of procedure and follow them consistently.
- Resolve questions of doubt before taking action; do not act hastily. Zoning decisions are permanent; try to get it right the first time.
- Know the limits of the community's authority and act in good faith.
- Correct immediately any situations that could be/are found liable.  
If sued, hire competent legal counsel familiar with the type of litigation involved.

**Chapter by League staff** based on materials provided by **Steve Langworthy**, retired partner with LSL Planning.